

	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
3	HERMAN R. CANTRELL,	Case No. 3:19-cv-00698-MMD-CLB
4		ORDER
5	v.	
6	ISIDRO BACA, et al.,	
7		Defendants
8		

9 **I. DISCUSSION**

10 On November 19, 2019, Plaintiff, who is an inmate in the custody of the Nevada
11 Department of Corrections, submitted a civil rights complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §
12 1983 and filed an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. (ECF Nos. 1, 1-1).

13 On December 9, 2019, this Court denied Plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma*
14 *pauperis*, without prejudice, because Plaintiff had not submitted a signature on the
15 acknowledgement portion on page 3 of the application. (ECF No. 3). Further, the Court
16 granted Plaintiff an opportunity to cure the deficiencies of his application to proceed *in*
17 *forma pauperis*, or in the alternative, pay the full filing fee for this action, within thirty days
18 from the date of the order. (*Id.*) The thirty-day period has now expired, and Plaintiff has
19 not filed another application to proceed *in forma pauperis*, paid the full filing fee, or
20 otherwise responded to the Court's order.

21 The Court now reassesses Plaintiff's original application to proceed *in forma*
22 *pauperis* at ECF No. 1 and will consider Plaintiff's application complete. As such,
23 Plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* (ECF No. 1) is reinstated. Further,
24 Plaintiff's case shall be placed in line for screening.

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 **II. CONCLUSION**

2 For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff's application to proceed
3 *in forma pauperis* (ECF No. 1) is considered complete. The Clerk of the Court is
4 instructed to reinstate the application to proceed *in forma pauperis* (ECF No. 1) as an
5 active, pending motion.

6 DATED: January 16, 2020.

7 
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
9

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28